



**THESIS SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW OF THE DIPLOMA
 THESIS**

Student: Eniola Oni Adebukola

**Thesis
 Supervisor:**

prof. Mgr. Roman Jašek, Ph.D.

Study program: **Engineering Informatics**
 Study discipline: **Information Technologies**
 Academic year: **2016/2017**

Diploma Thesis Topic: **The Design of an Electronic Commercial Shoe Store for a Small-Scale Business**

Evaluation:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Evaluation: A – Best; F - Unsatisfactory					
1. Difficulty of the assigned task(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Fulfilment of all points of the assignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Working with literature and citations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Level of linguistic elaboration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Formal elaboration – overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Logical structuring of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Suitability of chosen resolution methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Theoretical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Practical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Results and their presentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Thesis conclusions and their formulation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Result of the plagiarism test:

The work was controlled by an antiplagiarism system with a negative result.

Overall evaluation of the thesis:

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient.

Grade F also means “I do not recommend this thesis for defence.”

I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation:

C - Good.

In the case of an “F – Insufficient” grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.

Student regularly consulted the work. The thesis was prepared separately and with respect to the comments of the supervisor..

Date: 25.5.2017

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: