

SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS

Student: Kader Mohiuddin Abdul

Supervisor: prof. Roman Senkerik

Study program: Engineering Informatics

Specialization: Software Engineering

Academic year: 2024/2025

Master's Thesis topic: AI for Medical Diagnostics

Evaluation:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Evaluation: A – Best; F – Unsatisfactory					
1. Fulfilment of all points of the assignment	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Suitability of chosen resolution methods	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Division of work (chapters, subchapters, paragraphs)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Working with literature and citations	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Level of linguistic elaboration	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Formal level of work	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. Theoretical part elaboration quality	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. Practical part elaboration quality	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
9. Achieved results of the work	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Result of the plagiarism test:

The thesis was assessed in terms of plagiarism with the result of 3% identity. The thesis is not plagiarism.

Overall evaluation of the thesis:

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient.

Grade F also means "I do not recommend this thesis for defence."

I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation:

B - Very Good.

In the case of an "F – Insufficient" grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.

Thesis meets all assessment criteria. I appreciate the choice of an AI-related topic and the proof of concept for the selected use case. However, there is a downside due to the lack of time and computational resources for more thorough validation.

Date: 10.6.2025

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: